U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

37TH ANNUAL REGULATORY INFORMATION CONFERENCE

+ + + + +

COMMISSIONER MARZANO PLENARY

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

MARCH 12, 2025

+ + + + +

The Session was held at the Bethesda North Marriott Hotel & Conference Center and via virtual platform, at 9:00 a.m. EDT.

SPEAKERS

THE HONORABLE MATTHEW J. MARZANO, Commissioner, NRC LAURA DUDES, Acting Director, NRR

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

9:02 a.m.

MS. DUDES: Thank you. Good morning, everyone.

Commissioner Crowell, thank you so much. What a great session, and thank you for bringing those gems to us. I really appreciate it. As a member of the staff, just listening to former Chairman Burns and Commissioner Ostendorff, who was there for a lot of challenges for us, it meant a lot.

And I have to say, that is the first time, Commissioner Ostendorff, that we didn't get a sea story. Every single time we had a sea story. No, that was truly wonderful, and we really appreciate that perspective.

So last but absolutely not least, it is my honor to present and to introduce our final commissioner's remarks. And before I read his bio, there's something in his bio.

As a regional administrator, I do a lot of operator licensing dinners. And one thing that I say as I'm giving the speech before we give out the certificates, is the importance of three words. And these three words carry so much about a person, their character, what they've dedicated themselves to do. And that is licensed reactor operator.

In our industry here in the nuclear world, you'll get a biography of someone, and it will say maybe what they're doing now and where they went to school. But at the bottom, if it says

licensed reactor operator, that means something to all of us. It

means that someone dedicated a lot of time to know what one valve

in the plant does. And I'm going to tell you, they probably got

asked that on the exam during their in-plant JPM.

So without any further, I am pleased to introduce the

Honorable Matthew Marzano, who was appointed by President Joe Biden

and sworn in as a Commissioner of the United States Regulatory

Commission on January 6, 2025, to a term ending June 30, 2028.

Commissioner Marzano has served as staff on the U.S.

Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works and brings over

a decade of experience in the nuclear industry to the Commission,

including his time as a licensed reactor operator.

Welcome, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER MARZANO: Well, thank you very much,

Laura. I appreciate that introduction, very kind.

Good morning, Regulatory Information Conference. One

advantage of being the last commissioner to provide remarks is I

can make this really simple. Ditto, God bless, and good night.

No, no, no, that would be letting you all off a little

too easy, so let's begin. Well, by now we've heard four incredible

speeches by my fellow commissioners, each filled with passion,

wisdom, and a little deja vu. But before I dive in, I want to

keep things lively with a little game.

So by now I'm sure you've heard these terms dozens of

times already, and as a matter of fact you'll probably hear me say

ADVANCE Act at least a dozen more over the next 20 minutes. Okay,

so the game, which some of you may be familiar within a different

setting, is to take a sip of your caffeinated beverage of choice

each time you hear one of these buzzwords.

So I hope your cups are full now, and by the end you

should all be fully caffeinated and jazzed for the rest of the

day's session. Kidding, of course, please don't over-caffeinate

yourselves too much.

Anyways, but seriously, I'd sincerely like to thank,

say thank you to my fellow commissioners and welcome all of our

distinguished guests, former commissioners, and NRC staff, our

international guests, and everyone joining here in this room or

online.

I hope you have all been enjoying the RIC thus far,

and I hope that you've all taken advantage of the technical

sessions and opportunities to network with your fellow nuclear

professionals, building new relationships and fostering

longstanding ones.

I'm honored to be here today with an opportunity to

introduce myself and share my perspectives and my thoughts about

the future of nuclear and the role of the NRC. First, a little

backstory, or a little of my backstory and how I came to stand

before you all today.

I was born and raised in the Chicago area, my parents

with different religious backgrounds. Looking back, I credit this

spiritual duality as having a tremendous influence on the values

that I hold sacred. Despite different canons and traditions, I

learned early not to focus on the differences, but rather the

common set of principles that reveal our shared humanity.

Eventually, I realized that one particular teaching,

which may come as no surprise, encapsulates these common principles

and transcends faith and belief systems. Simply: do unto others

as you would have them do unto you.

No matter the religion, culture, philosophy, this

concept provides a foundation for the expression of empathy,

compassion, understanding, and respect, the very core values that

have guided me throughout my life and that will guide me as a

commissioner.

My interest in the sciences began very early in my

life. I recall long conversations about physics and the way the

world works with my grandfather, Ronald Waxman, seen here in his

younger days, an electrical engineer who could fix anything.

Being all of ten years old, much of the knowledge he

tried to impart flew well above my comprehension, but nonetheless

inspired me to pursue scientific study with a passionate curiosity

for finding things out. So he instilled in me what I will refer

to as the Feynman ethos, a belief characterized by scientific

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14th STREET, N.W., SUITE 200

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

integrity, curiosity, and an inherent skepticism and questioning

attitude.

And it is certainly not lost on me that my grandfather

just so happened to have led the engineering team that developed

early arcade games such as Q*bert, and I promise I am not joking,

Reactor, a game where the object is literally to cool down the

core of a nuclear reactor.

It is because of my grandfather's influence that I

pursued a degree in nuclear engineering. Through my studies I

learned then, as I believe now, that safely managed nuclear power

has an important role to play in the world's energy mix.

After completing my degree, I joined the Knolls Atomic

Power Laboratory, where I trained alongside the Navy's finest

sailors to become a civilian instructor, engineer, and operator,

implementing the Navy's training mission. Executing that mission

required me to internalize and uphold the rigorous safety-focused

standards that define operational excellence.

I later found that the same safety principles

underpinning the Navy's nuclear program carried over in the

commercial nuclear industry. As a senior reactor operator

candidate at the V.C. Summer construction project in South

Carolina, I observed the complexities of managing first-of-a-kind

nuclear projects and the importance of proactive engagement

between NRC and licensees.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

I witnessed how early engagement can drive timely

resolution of novel regulatory issues that arise during the

construction and design phase of new reactors.

I then earned my SRO license at Braidwood Generating

Station in Illinois. An SRO license carries with it the

responsibility to protect public health and safety and environment

while navigating the intricate relationship between nuclear power

operations and regulatory compliance.

My time working in the nuclear industry coincided with

the premature closure of several nuclear power plants and the

threat of closure of many others. Those developments, together

with a longstanding interest in politics and government, inspired

me to apply for the American Nuclear Society's congressional

fellowship program.

I joined the U.S. Senate Committee on Environment and

Public Works, with oversight of the NRC, and sought to learn from

experts in energy and climate policy while advancing the

committee's priorities on nuclear safety matters. This included

advising the chairman on what would become the ADVANCE Act, working

both across the aisle and with our House colleagues to reach

agreement on differing views.

This collaboration led to the final passage of the

ADVANCE Act in July of last year, one of the most significant

pieces of energy legislation in recent history.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

So I wanted to share my backstory to give you a sense

of my values and the experiences that shaped who I am and how I

think about my role as a commissioner. It is also not lost on me

that I've joined the Commission at an inflection point. Although

the NRC has encountered similar moments in the agency's history,

the issues we face today are uniquely complex and will test our

response to a rapidly changing world.

Earlier this year, we celebrated the NRC's 50th

anniversary. And as I was thinking about the storied 50 years of

the NRC, it made me wonder what else has aged well at 50 and stood

the test of time. Yes, the idea for Post-it notes, developed 50

years ago today, led to an extraordinarily versatile product that

remains ubiquitous, even with the shift to a more digital world.

We use Post-it notes for so many things. I don't know

how I would stay organized without them. And the uses for this

irreplaceable tool are nearly endless.

Yes, the brainchild of Erno Rubik, this nifty little

box revolutionized puzzling entertainment when it hit the shelves

in the mid-1970s, challenging minds worldwide with its colorful

complexity.

To put this into perspective, if one had a standard-

size Rubik's cube, each permutation to solve the puzzle could cover

the Earth's surface 275 times. Or if stacked end to end, would

measure a distance of 261 light years.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

Although there are a significant number of ways to

solve the Rubik's cube, several solutions do so in well under 100

moves. And side note, the current record stands at 3.13 seconds.

That's very impressive. Meanwhile, I've had one going at home for

the past 3.13 years that I have not finished, so TBD on that.

Perhaps some of you may be familiar with or have played

Dungeons & Dragons. But for those of you who don't know, D&D is

a game where players venture into mystical realms for the uses of

storytelling, battle imaginary creatures, and gather knowledge to

solve problems.

Dungeons & Dragons also recently turned the big 5-0.

Yet, the game play continues to evolve, supported by modern

technology, introducing the game to new audiences.

This timeless classic is one of the funniest and most

quotable movies ever made. Even after 50 years, many still debate

how coconuts migrate, and it is common knowledge that a swallow

must beat its wings 43 times every second to maintain its airspeed

velocity.

So, why do I bring all of these up? Yes, maybe to get

a chuckle or two, but in all seriousness, these ideas have staying

power, remaining relevant across our culture across generations.

And so has the NRC in its role as the international gold standard

for independent civil nuclear safety regulation.

So as the theme of the RIC lays bare, what will it

take for the NRC to sustain its reputation for regulatory

excellence over the next 50 years? From the examples I just

highlighted, I believe we can glean a few things.

First, we have to be versatile and flexible, much like

the ever-present Post-it note. Today, the nuclear landscape is

rapidly evolving, more so by the day. The NRC has no choice but

to adopt an agile, mission-focused culture prepared to pivot as

necessary. We must embrace novel solutions to problems and

identify issues early.

Second, the problems we face looking into the future

are multi-faceted and complex. As with the Rubik's cube, there is

more than one way to solve a given problem. But finding the most

effective and efficient way is key. As an agency, we must continue

to lean in to be risk-informed and to accept reasonable risks where

appropriate.

Third, like the game Dungeons & Dragons, the agency

must be curious and imaginative as we enter a new paradigm for the

generation of nuclear energy and applications of radioactive

materials. This is the opportunity for us, as the NRC, to write

our story and choose our path to success. Each member of the NRC

staff has a role to play in shaping that narrative.

Lastly, you may be asking yourselves, well, what can

we learn from Monty Python. Well, that as you move forward in the

world, make sure that you find opportunities for laughter and joy

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

no matter what the circumstances.

So at this critical moment, marked not only by the NRC's 50th anniversary, but also by staggering projections for growth in nuclear energy domestically and abroad, and on a scale rivaled only by the dawn of the atomic age and the Atoms for Peace campaign of the 1950s, the NRC must take stock of where we have been and where we are going.

Now is the time for us to define our own legacy to write our story with ownership and intention so that it isn't written for us. The NRC has faced similar tests in the past.

Looking back on our history, there have been a number of existential moments that have shaped the agency's trajectory.

Three Mile Island was the most serious nuclear accident in U.S. history, and brought about sweeping changes to our regulatory oversight, including our approach to emergency response planning, operator training, human factors engineering, and many others areas of nuclear power plant operation. The lessons learned from that event significantly enhance reactor operations in the United States, anchored by a culture of safety and accountability.

In 1998, Senator Pete Domenici, then chairman of the NRC's appropriations subcommittee, concluded that the NRC had crossed the line from regulating reactor safety and into excessive oversight of our licensees. He came to the agency with an

ultimatum: become more risk-informed, or face significant cuts to

the agency's budget and staff.

This became known as the agency's near-death

experience, but introduced risk-informed regulation and birthed

the reactor oversight process, or ROP. Fortunately, Chairman

Shirley Jackson had already taken time and action to position the

agency to be risk-informed before that ultimatum, an opportunity

favors the prepared moment.

Lastly, the Fukushima event, which happened 14 years

ago yesterday, drastically shifted the global outlook for nuclear

energy. While the lessons learned from that event led to the

improved resilience of the nation's power plants, the aftermath

contributed to the collapse of the first nuclear renaissance. The

NRC has only recently recovered from the impacts of this chain of

events, but continues to feel its effects even today.

The NRC's response to each of these moments in our

history reflects the agency's steadfast commitment to safety and

continuous improvement while reinforcing the NRC's reputation as

the gold standard in nuclear regulation. However, sustaining NRC's

position as a global leader means we cannot simply continue with

business as usual, or wait for the next existential moment that

demands a response.

In my view, our story of success will be written by

leaning into three things. First, readying the agency to be more

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

proactive and agile as we face a new wave of technologies.

Second, doubling down on our efforts to engage the public by meeting them where they are and building an understanding of NRC's role in the deployment of nuclear technologies. And third, focusing on the heart of all of the NRC's achievements, its

I believe that these priorities provide strategic direction to the NRC to evolve its culture to be more proactive, forward-thinking, and adaptable, rebuilding the agency into a regulator that doesn't just respond to change but anticipates it. By embracing this mindset, we can not only meet today's challenges, but build resilience for any that arise in the next 50 years and beyond.

I didn't develop these priorities in isolation. Rather, they are the result of years of my observations and align with the work of Congress to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the NRC.

For the remainder of my time, I'll offer my thoughts on how to write this story of success and achieve these goals. But I'll begin with a short detour about the direction we've been given over the past few years. In particular, and apologies for my use of acronyms in advance, NEIMA, I want to briefly focus on certain aspects of NEIMA, NEICA, and most recently the ADVANCE Act.

people.

The Nuclear Energy Innovation and Modernization Act of

2019, or NEIMA, directed the NRC to develop a new licensing

framework for advanced reactors, known as Part 53. While this

effort is proceeding apace, it is projected to be completed ahead

of the deadline.

It is imperative that the new licensing framework

delivers a flexible and simplified licensing pathway grounded by

an appropriate focus on safety and risk. Even more important, the

final rule must be usable to support the new technologies and

deployment models of future applicants.

Meanwhile, we need to be just as flexible and efficient

under our existing regulatory structure to license new designs and

capture those lessons learned to foster continuous improvement.

Prior to NEIMA, Congress recognized the need to

support the deployment of advanced reactors. The Nuclear Energy

Innovation Capabilities Act of 2017, or NEICA, set out to encourage

next generation nuclear innovation.

Under NEICA, the NRC and the Department of Energy

entered into a partnership to further coordinate advanced reactor

research and demonstration. These collaborative efforts have

already yielded positive outcomes for both NRC and DOE.

Expanding this collaboration, with appropriate

guardrails to ensure independence, will not only help the NRC to

better anticipate novel safety issues, but also provide insights

to researchers and developers that can help accelerate deployment.

Congress's efforts over the decades to ensure that the United States has the tools it needs to deploy the next generation of nuclear energy and to reestablish U.S. global leadership in civilian nuclear technology culminated in the passage of the bipartisan Accelerating Deployment of Versatile, Advanced Nuclear

for Clean Energy Act, or the ADVANCE Act.

As my old boss, Senator Tom Carper of Delaware, would say, we have to find out what works and do more of that. And somewhere right now Senator Capito's ears are ringing because I said that. In light of this tradition, the ADVANCE Act builds on several efforts initiated under NEICA and NEIMA.

First, among the changes that NEIMA made to the NRC's budget, I want to highlight the classification of certain NRC activities as excluded from fee-recovery. This includes costs related to the development of Part 53.

The ADVANCE Act added several activities to this list, like removing a portion of the costs associated with advance reactor application and pre-application reviews.

I want to pause here and point out that excluding certain activities from fee recovery has the practical effect of increasing public investment in the NRC's work. In essence, I believe this strategy represents a recognition by Congress that the NRC's efforts deliver broader public benefits to society,

especially those that support innovation and progress.

Direct funding can also help reduce barriers for advanced reactor developers to engage with the NRC by better allocating resources to these efforts. In a similar way, the NRC's ability to anticipate the commercial readiness of novel technologies can help us grow our technical capacity and inform

Relatedly, the ADVANCE Act broadened the scope of cooperation between the NRC and DOE to advance nuclear fuel development, building on the success of the program initiated under NEICA.

Integrating the NRC into the R&D process as appropriate can accelerate fuel qualification and deliver safer and more efficient fuels for existing and advanced reactors. And although the provisions I just described made targeted expansions to the initiatives started under NEIMA and NEICA, the ADVANCE Act has set the NRC on a bold new path, inspiring a renewed commitment to our mission and the future.

Speaking of our mission, in January the Commission issued the NRC's updated mission statement, as directed by the ADVANCE Act. By now you've read or heard these words several times, so I'll spare you another reading.

But I do want to draw your attention to the statutory text of the ADVANCE Act, on the right side of the screen, that

our strategic planning.

required the NRC to update its mission statement consistent with

the policy of the Atomic Energy Act and the Energy Reorganization

Act, and to include that regulation be conducted in a manner that

is efficient and does not unnecessarily limit the benefits of

radioactive materials and nuclear energy technology to society.

This language has reinvigorated a discussion that

reveals somewhat of an inherent tension between the Atomic Energy

Act and the Energy Reorganization Act. The question is how does

the NRC retain its independent non-promotional role as a safety

regulator that does not unnecessarily limit the benefits of nuclear

energy technology.

The question of balance has been a topic of

conversation since NRC's founding. The architects of the Energy

Reorganization Act were clear that, quote, The Commission should

not be insensitive to the national need for the development of a

strong, reliable nuclear industry in the United States, unquote.

Consideration for the need for power, economic

benefits and the establishment of public trust were factors

expressed to be part of the decision-making of the new agency.

All of this is to say that the ADVANCE Act does not

grant new authorities to consider societal benefits of nuclear

technologies, but rather reinforces that this has been a part of

the NRC's foundational statutes all along.

And I believe it is worth reiterating that this

provision does not alter the agency's core safety mission and

security mandate under law. Nor should we stray from our non-

promotional role. After all, it is this model of regulation that

has been copied worldwide and bolsters the NRC's global reputation.

But clearly there is latitude for the NRC to consider

the societal benefits of nuclear technologies in ways that align

with our vision and values.

Returning to the question I posed earlier, while the

updated mission statement provides strategic direction, it leaves

unanswered the question of how the NRC does not unnecessarily limit

the societal benefits of nuclear technologies without compromising

safety. And that question cannot be answered without considering

the other component of the required mission statement update.

Efficiency is not new to the NRC. Since 1991, the

principles of good regulation have shaped the NRC's values and

guided the agency's decision-making and the individual behavior of

NRC staff. In the ADVANCE Act, Congress elevated efficiency as

the operative framework that we should further apply in our

approach to licensing, regulation, and oversight.

The efficiency principle states in part that the

American taxpayer, the rate-paying consumer, and licensees are all

entitled to the best possible management and administration of

regulatory activities. More pointedly, the ADVANCE Act directs

the NRC to improve our responsiveness to all stakeholders impacted

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14th STREET, N.W., SUITE 200

by the NRC's decision-making.

decision-making.

The efficiency principle also clarifies how to put its intent into practice. Fundamentally, efficiency as applied to the NRC's regulatory activities means alignment with the degree of risk reduction they achieve. But efficiency is more than finding a balanced and reasoned approach to evaluating safety in the NRC's

Efficiency also calls upon the NRC to establish means to evaluate and continually upgrade its regulatory capabilities. That is why, as one of my priorities, I've emphasized cultivating a forward-looking approach supported by a culture of innovation and continuous improvement.

Truly embracing efficiency means analyzing the organization from the top down, reevaluating its structure and processes to ensure alignment with our mission. It also means that we must adopt a strategic vision of the evolving technology landscape and shed our reactive posture.

We must also leverage cooperative efforts domestically and abroad aimed at licensing new technologies. And we must incorporate the state of the art into our own operations to improve our capabilities, which includes expanding our use and understanding of how licensees will use artificial intelligence.

It is my hope that these efforts drive the agency to proactively seek ways to align our regulatory approaches with the

new paradigm of rapidly advancing technologies, and to proactively

seek ways to shape our own narrative so it is not shaped for us.

I believe that the only way the NRC can accomplish

this is with the trust and confidence of the public we serve. The

continued use of radioactive materials for the benefit of society

requires the NRC to accept its share for the responsibility to

maintain this social license.

That is why my second priority is focused on building

public trust and confidence in the NRC's decision-making, which

will foster greater acceptance of nuclear technologies.

Developing trust starts with meeting people where they are. That

also means removing barriers to communication, using plain

language to describe how the NRC's actions impact communities.

Early and proactive public engagement can benefit

nuclear projects and host communities by building that trust and

addressing issues before they escalate, leading to better outcomes

that align with community needs. This is especially true as the

number of communities that are new to nuclear is expected to grow

in the coming years.

It will be imperative to engage with these communities

to help them understand how the NRC works to protect the public

health and safety, and that our mission is critically important to

the nation and to the world.

So, I've spoken at length about how I think the NRC

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

can begin to write our story in the next 50 years. Yet, I'll note

that none of this happens without NRC's people.

From the control room to the Commission hearing room,

I've witnessed the pressure and expectations the NRC faces from

external stakeholders. However, just as important are the

perspectives and experiences of the internal stakeholders, the NRC

staff.

It's the people here at this agency, the lifeblood of

this organization, who dedicate their professional lives to public

service, and when required, sacrifice their personal time to

protect public health and safety.

Around the time that I was finishing up my degree in

nuclear engineering, the NRC was rated as one of the top places to

work in the government. Perhaps not surprisingly, this coincided

with a renewed interest in nuclear energy and corresponding growth

at the agency.

But, like I mentioned earlier, projections for new

nuclear development reversed course just as the NRC was positioned

to respond to a growing workload. We face similar circumstances

today.

My goal and my third priority is ensuring that we learn

from our recent past and adopt a smart growth strategy that focuses

on having the right resources in the right place at the right time.

This strategy must also cultivate a renewed sense of optimism for

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14th STREET, N.W., SUITE 200

the future and to return the NRC to one of the best places to work

in the federal government.

Improving employee sentiment and job satisfaction is

fundamental to accomplishing our mission and direction from

Congress. In my view, the key will be for the agency leadership,

including us on the Commission, to invest in our people and arm

them with the resources they need to feel supported. That requires

not just listening to people's concerns, but following up with

action.

This is a tumultuous time for the federal workforce.

But even in the face of these external challenges, I remain

committed to and focused on building and maintaining a competent,

well-trained workforce that can meet expected demands.

So now is the time to redouble our efforts to ensure

that the NRC has the qualified and specialized staff we need to

fulfill our mission. That is why leveraging our new workforce

authorities under the ADVANCE Act is so crucial.

But no matter how long you've been here, be it ten

months, ten years, or beyond, and no matter what you work on, be

it operating reactors, new reactors, materials, or in corporate

support, you will be the authors of our story for the next 50

years.

So, I want to take this moment to express my sincere

gratitude to each of you. Your resilience, dedication, and

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

commitment do not go unnoticed. You are the backbone of this

agency. And I am truly honored to work alongside such a talented

and passionate group.

Thank you for all that you do. Your contributions are

invaluable, and I am confident that together, we will achieve great

things and make our NRC community a better place for all.

Before I close, I want to sincerely thank my incredible

staff. Their support and dedication have made my transition smooth

and my first 60 days both productive and meaningful. I am truly

grateful for them.

So thank you to Patty Jimenez, Steven Lynch, Hector

Rodriguez, Rebecca Susko, and Shakur Walker.

In closing, I hope I was able to leave you all with a

glimpse of my story, how it fits into the NRC's story, and the

path I believe we should take to achieve success.

And with that, I look forward to writing our next

chapter, and I hope you will join me as we set our sights on the

next 50 years. Thank you.

MS. DUDES: Do you need a minute?

COMMISSIONER MARZANO: I think I'm ready to jump right

in.

MS. DUDES: All right, well great. I have a lot of

questions for you.

So here we go, we're going to start with the first

one. As the newest member of the Commission, can you tell us a

bit about your first 60 days? How have they been and what stood

out the most?

COMMISSIONER MARZANO: Yes. Well, in my first week,

we had a snowstorm that delayed my swearing-in ceremony, and then

a Commission meeting, the 50th anniversary celebration of the NRC.

So I was really trying to pack it in, there was a lot of things

going on in my first week.

But you know, one thing that was readily apparent to

me was how welcoming the staff, the Commission, everybody in this

agency was. That has honestly been such a blessing to me and has

made me feel incredibly welcome.

And I'll note going back to that 50th anniversary

celebration, we received, you know, they had a video that played

that showed kind of appreciation from across the world for the NRC

reaching this milestone. And you know, sometimes you tend to say

things like the NRC is the gold standard, etc. And sometimes those

words are spoken.

But I think that was one of the moments for me that

really had that sentiment sink in, into you know, hearing it from

our international counterparts of how much they appreciate the

work that we do and our partnership. And so that was very

inspiring, and it really kind of brought meaning to those words.

But I've been able to jump right in. As I said, I

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

thank my staff for putting me in that position there. And again,

my colleagues on the Commission, who've incredibly helpful in

getting me up to speed and effective as I've started, so.

MS. DUDES: Great, great. So the next question, what

insights or lessons learned can you share from your time at V.C.

Summer that you bring to your commissioner role?

COMMISSIONER MARZANO: Well, there's definitely a

couple things. I think what that experience really did, and I

kind of mentioned it a little bit in my talk, you know, we as an

operations group had been doing a lot of different activities

preconstruction. So whatever needed to be done, somebody stepped

in to, you know, fill whatever need was there.

I myself was kind of working on the design change

process a little bit. And you know, the AP1000 design that was

evolving before us. And as they were building them in China, they

were bringing these lessons learned back and ensuring that, you

know, they were incorporated here.

You know, our responsibility was, is that operations

department, to make sure that those changes, you know, comported

with the licensing basis and, you know, how our tech specs work,

etc.

And so I think what that cemented for me is especially

when it comes to these first-of-a-kind reactors, we have to expect

that there will be changes as these things are being built.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

And what that means is as a regulator, we have to have

a, you know, during the construction phase a process that can adapt

to that and how rapidly those things, you know, specifically the

design, it could be safety-related, may need updates.

So there's that example. And then just kind of one

chicken-and-egg question, so most plants have a plant reference

simulator, as you're familiar with, that is certified to, you know,

administer the operating license. So AP1000 hadn't had one yet.

And so there's this conundrum of how do you actually,

you know, give the operating portion of the exam on that simulator

and whether or not it's certified for that purpose. And so that

was a question I think that had gone back and forth a lot of times.

And eventually it got figured out.

And I think that that's, you know, what I learned,

took away from that is, you know, the NRC can adapt to these novel

situations. I think, you know, we just have to anticipate them a

little bit better.

MS. DUDES: Yeah, that's a great example. Next

question, we heard in your remarks an emphasis on driving

efficiencies at all levels of the agency. How do you envision the

agency achieving those efficiencies?

COMMISSIONER MARZANO: Well, I want to take this in a

couple places, and I do want to acknowledge one thing that the

chairman spoke of yesterday. And I kind of alluded a little bit

to it in a context in my remarks. Breaking down barriers to

communication.

We need to break down barriers to communication with

the public, as I mentioned. But I think the same applies with our

applicants and pre-applicants. This question of consultation,

guidance, this question of, you know, what does it actually mean

to be non-promotional in that sense.

You know, I think that we, the NRC, can be promotional,

but promotional of nuclear safety.

And that means, to the point that I made about leaning

in, about kind of being more proactive, it's that type of mindset

that I think needs to start to, you know, make its way through the

agency in terms of look, when we are being proactive, you know,

where in a sense in the past it made it seem like we're bordering

on this promotion, non-promotion.

I think we have to remind ourselves that we promote

safety. And if the actions that we are taking and the initiatives

are supporting that, then that's where we should be as a regulator.

And then to the, one of the things that, you know, I

didn't necessarily anticipate but something that came up in my

mind as I had been getting started, in terms of efficiency and in

terms of how the technology's changing, does the NRC's actual

organizational structure support the most efficient processes that

are needed to license these technologies quickly.

So that is something that I know that the agency is

undertaking and looking at right now. I think we've been, you

know, tasked with that from the administration.

But at the same time, I think that the organizational

structure itself and how that is, you know, can support efficiency

just by making sure that, you know, between offices and

disciplines, etc., there's a communication that's happening. And

the structure enables or can hinder that type of exchange.

So those two things I think are, have been front of

mind for me recently, but.

MS. DUDES: Great. I'm looking at time. We have time

for probably one more question. And I thought we'd do the, do a

little fun one because you had some fun in your presentation.

So and the question is since you invoked Monty Python,

what is your name, what is your quest, what is the airspeed

velocity of an unladen swallow?

COMMISSIONER MARZANO: Was that the African or

European swallow? No, well, I think I've tried to lay it out in

my remarks. You know, I don't want to ruffle any feathers here,

but I'm a little bit younger side for the Commission.

But I think what that does, and what I hope to bring,

is the perspective of our generation, which, you know, when it

comes to the evolving views of nuclear power and where it sits

relevant to the discussion about climate change and the need for

clean energy, clean and reliable energy, you know, I think generationally these things have been changing very much.

And you know I just, I hope to represent those interests as well. I mean, I'll go ahead and say it: I've got a two-year-old at home and another on the way.

And you know, I think about this role in the broader context of, you know, what kind of planet do we leave them. And how our work relates to the solutions that will, you know, preserve their future as well.

So that's what I bring.

MS. DUDES: Perfect.

COMMISSIONER MARZANO: My quest.

MS. DUDES: Perfect, the end of it, your beautiful children.

All right, well, thank you so much, Commissioner. That ends this session. Very nicely done.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 9:46 a.m.)